## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

GERALD MORA,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:12-CV-00334
	§
JOSE CHAPA, et al,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

## ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION TO DENY MOTIONS FOR RULE 59 RELIEF

On September 23, 2013, United States Magistrate Judge B. Janice Ellington issued her "Memorandum and Recommendation to Deny Motions for Rule 59 Relief" (D.E. 53). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 53), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the "Plaintiff's Motion 1/2

and Order to Incorporate Continuance of New Evidence Under the ADA and Rehabilitation Act" (D.E. 51) is **DENIED** and "Motion for Leave to File Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsider [sic] of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment" (D.E. 52) is **DENIED.** 

**ORDERED** this 24th day of October, 2013.

NELWA GONZALE RAMOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE